UConn Health – John Dempsey Hospital Violation of State of Connecticut Public Health Code and/or General Statutes of Connecticut

Violation  The following is a violation of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 19-13-D3 (b) Administration (2) and /or (e).  The following is a violation of the		Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action  Effected/Responsible party  Plan of Correction:  1. When notified of the PICA patient's anticipated arrival, plan to place the patient in the protected ED zone immediately upon arrival when appropriate. Patient will go into a medical room if they need resuscitation.  2. Remove and replace any needle boxes in the patient room with empty needle receptacles.  3. Provide two 1:1 sitters in the patient's room.  4. Restrain patient for safety as needed.  5. Education regarding self-injurious policy: water pitchers and straws not to remain in the room.  6. Report and review event at 9/19/2017 QAPI meeting.  Compliance Monitor:  The Nurse Manager of the Emergency Department or her designee will monitor 100% of these patient's ED visits for compliance with placement of the patient and other safety precautions. Monitoring will continue for three months or until 100% compliance rate is achieved. The need for additional monitoring will be re-evaluated at that time.  Responsible Person:  Nurse Manager, Emergency Department  Completion Date:  August 1, 2019
Agencies Section 19-13-D3 (b) Administration (2) and /or(c) Medical Staff (2) and /or Medical records (3).		2a. Plan of Correction:
	<ul> <li>a. Patient (P) #5 with a chief complaint of right sided pain underwent an abdominal ultrasound which identified a mass</li> </ul>	1. Place a reminder to staff to document follow up after a call to a physician is placed in the Friday Flyer.

PAGE 1 of 22

かって

The following is a violation of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 1 9-13-D3 (b) Administration (2) and/or (c) Medical Staff (2) and/or (e) Nursing Services (1) and/or (j) General (6).  Staff (2) and/or (j) General (6).  Staff (2) and/or (j) General (6).	attached to P#5 Hospital #1 for underwent lapa #1. Consent for anesthesia adm dental work. According to a not have any de record dated 3/ intubation which identified. How PM indicated P which was repo anesthesia was review of the m by anesthesia for the medical rec had evaluated I? During an inter the Chief of An indicated an an day and the Op inform him/her Anesthesia indi documentation expectation wo evaluate and de Policy for Entri patient medical and services rei care, treatment	Violation
Based on clinical record review, facility documentation and interviews for one of three sampled patients (Patient #4) reviewed for pain medication order and administration, the facility failed to assess the patient according to facility protocol. The findings include:  Patient#4 was admitted on 4/8/16 with a diagnosis of right femur leg fracture. Past medical history included COPD, hypertension severe esteoporosis and atrial fibrillation on	attached to P#5's uterus and right ovary. P#5 was referred to Hospital #1 for evaluation and treatment. On 3/11/16 P#5 underwent laparoscopy and a surgical hysterectomy by MD #1. Consent for anesthesia dated 3/11/16 indicated a risk of anesthesia administration included injury to lips, teeth or dental work.  According to a preadmission record dated 3/9/16, P#5 did not have any dental abnormalities. An Intra operative record dated 3/11/16 indicated P#5 underwent tracheal intubation which was atraumatic and no dental injury was identified. However a progress note dated 3/11/16 at 8:30 PM indicated P#5 had a small chip in his/her front left tooth which was reported by the patient. The note indicated anesthesia was called and would see P#5. In addition, review of the medical record lacked documentation that anesthesia had evaluated P#5's dental injury. Review of the medical record lacked documentation that anesthesia had evaluated an anesthesia on 11/28/18 at 1:30 PM he/she indicated an anesthesia staff member is on call 24 hours a day and the Operator would page the anesthesia staff and ocumentation that anesthesia had evaluated P#5 and the expectation would be that the anesthesia staff would evaluate and document the patient assessment and plan. Policy for Entries in the Medical Record indicated all patient medical record entries for services provided must be accurate and complete with evidence documented to support the diagnosis/condition, justify the care/treatment and services rendered, document the course and results of care, treatment and services, and sufficiently promote continuity of care among providers.	Discussion of Issues
3a.  Plan of Correction:  1. Re-education of all RN's in direct patient care for assessment and reassessment after an intervention.	2. Re-educate anesthesia staff regarding documentation of pre and post intubation patient assessment and plan.  3. Report and review event at 7/16/19 QAPI meeting  Compliance Monitor:  The Clinical Chief, Department of Anesthesiology or his designee will monitor compliance with completing documentation pre and post intubation including patient assessment and plan for 10 charts per month. Monitoring will continue until 100% compliance rate is achieved. The need for additional monitoring will be re-evaluated at that time.  Responsible Person:  Clinical Chief, Department of Anesthesiology  Completion Date:  August 1, 2019	Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party

Violation	Discussion of Issues	Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party
	Eliquis. The orthopedic consult dated 4/8/16 recommended open reduction internal fixation (ORIF) right femur fracture with plan for surgery 48-72 hours after last	<ol> <li>Review and revise pain policy as needed.</li> <li>Report and review event at 7/16/19 QAPI meeting</li> </ol>
	dose of Eliquis. The physician's orders dated 4/9/16 directed Valium tablet	Compliance Monitor:  The Senior Nursing Director or her designee will monitor
	Sings every 6 hours as needed for pain, Dilaudid U.2mg intravenous (IV) every 3 hours as needed for break through	compliance with completing documentation, assessment, and reassessment. Five charts per month in each inpatient
	pain scale 1-3, Dilaudid 0.4mg IV every 3 hours as needed for the last through pain scale 4-7 and Dilaudid Img IV every	area will be monitored for 3 months. Monitoring will continue until 90% compliance rate is achieved. The nead
	The being as needed for break through pain scale 8-10.	for additional monitoring will be re-evaluated at that time.
	while we of 5. The Medication Administration Record	Besnonsihle Person:
	The nurse's progress note dated 4/9/16 at 2:34AM identified will continue to monitor, assess and update MD as	Senior Nursing Director
	necessary.	Commission Dates
	The pain assessments score at 4:00AM identified a pain	Completion Date:
	assessment at 4:49AM identified a pain score of 9. The	August 1, 2017
	MAR identified Dilaudid 1mg administered at 4:49AM.	
	The physician clinical progress note dated 4/9/16 at	
	9.43AM identified Patient#4 was found lethargic but	
	arousable, vital signs stable, plan to stop narcotics due to	
	administer Narcan.	
	Review of the MAR identified Narcan 0.1ml administered	
	on 4/9/16 as ordered at 9:45AM and 9:48AM with good effect.	
	Review of the clinical record failed to identify a sedation	
	score after administration of an IV narcotic.	
	Review of the medication related review form dated	
	4/11/16 concluded the combination of benzodiazepam and	
	narcotics can make a patient prone to respiratory denression.	
	In an interview on 10/18/18 at 11:00AM, Pharmacist#!	
	identified Valium effectiveness peaks between 15 minutes	
	to 2 hours therefore if administered at 1:00 AM there is the	
	potential for it to still be in the patient's system.	
	In an interview and clinical record review on 10/18/18 at	
	3:00PM, the internal medicine physician (MD#8) identified	
e remit de de la constante de	a number of factors are considered when placing a harcotic	

	The following is a violation of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 19-13-D3 (b) Administration (2) and/or (i) General (6).	Violation
	<b>4.</b> a	
bedroom doors were identified with 3 different types of door knobs/handles that were ligature points "no-entry" tubular metal swing gates between the nurse's station and common hallways had large openings Interview with the Compliance Officer on 10/16/18 at 2:15PM stated that the facility had conducted a risk assessment (updated on 9/28/18) prior to the survey. An action plan to remove the ligature risks was developed and the facility was in the process of completing components of the plan.  Interview with the Unit Manager on 10/16/18 at 2:10PM stated that environmental rounds are conducted every shift and that all patients are monitored at least every 15 minutes. Review of the psychiatric unit environmental rounds documentation failed to include Observations of the door hinges, non-psychiatric designed beds, curtains or doorknobs. The unit census on 10/16/18	order i.e. should typically start at a low dose and titrate up as necessary. In addition, when administering a narcotic the pain score, the patient's naivety to narcotic and age should be taken into account.  Review of the facility protocol for 'Pain: care of the patient' identified in part patients who have received IV narcotics must also have respiratory rate and sedation assessed. In addition, the RN will identify patient specific factors influencing response such as prior exposure to opioids (naivety or tolerance), age, renal and liver function, pain severity and co-morbidities.  *Based on observations on the behavioral health unit, facility documentation and clinical record review, the facility failed to provide care in a safe setting on the psychiatric unit when it was identified that sleeping rooms and units were not maintained in such a manner to promote the safety and well-being of patients. The findings include: Observations during a tour of the psychiatric unit on 10/16/18 at 1:40PM identified multiple ligature points that included the following:  18 patient beds were not designed to a psychiatric/institutional standard and had identified ligature points, i.e.: metal frames, wooden head and footboards and plastic head and foot boards with holes in them	Discussion of Issues
and tubular metal swing gates to ensure the environment is safe for patients in the psychiatric unit.  4. 15 minute Environment of Care checks will be implemented while facilities is working to correct the safety issues (this is in addition to the 15 minute patient checks already being performed) to ensure the environment is safe to care and well-bring for patients in the psychiatric unit.  5. Re-education of psych staff.  6. Report and review event at 12/18/18 QAPI meeting.  Compliance Monitor:  The Psychiatric Unit Manager or her designee with monitor compliance with completing 15 minute Environment of Care checks five times per week. Monitoring will	4a.  Plan of Correction:  1. Furniture will be ordered to ensure the environment is safe for patients in the psychiatric unit.  2. Facilities will replace doors and other items to ensure the environment is safe for patients in the psychiatric unit.  3. Facilities will replace door hinges, door knobs/handles	Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party

UConn Health – John Dempsey Hospital Violation of State of Connecticut Public Health Code and/or General Statutes of Connecticut

Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party	continue until all furniture has arrived and facilities has completed their improvement project.  Responsible Person:  Manager of Inpatient Psychiatry  Completion Date:  12/1/2018	5a.  1. Re-education of ED staff regarding oxygen orders need to be present and need for follow up assessment with an intervention.  2. Review and Revise oxygen policy as needed.  3. Report and review event at 7/16/19 QAPI meeting.  Compliance Monitor:  The Nurse Manager of the Emergency Department or her designee will monitor compliance with documentation of titrating oxygen with appropriate orders and follow up assessment with an intervention. Ten charts will be monitored monthly and monitoring will continue until 100% compliance rate is achieved. The need for additional monitoring will be re-evaluated at that time.  Responsible Person:  Nurse Manager, Emergency Department  Completion Date:  August 1, 2019
Discussion of Issues	was 17. There were no patient's with current suicidal ideation or self-harm tendencies.  Subsequent to surveyor inquiry an action plan was submitted that indicated the following:  a.Implementation of education to all staff on ligature risks in the environment, addition of environmental rounding every 15 minutes, patient suicidal risk assessments on admission and every shift while awake, and provision of I to I or constant observation as deemed necessary.	5. Based on clinical record review, interview and policy review, for one of three patients' reviewed for care and services (Patient #16), the hospital failed to ensure that oxygen was administered based on a physician's order/protocol and/or that nursing assessments were documented. The findings include the following:  a. Patient #16 presented to the emergency room (ED) on 11/20/16 at 9:48 PM for evaluation of shortness of breath. The putient had a history of ALS and reported tongue withfunk, was tachypneic, had rhonchi in the left upper luthe untal right upper lobe, and had an oxygen saturation of 92% on 2 liters of Oxygen. Review of the record on 10/18/18 at 2:30 PM with the ED Manager indicated that at 12:00 AM on 11/21/16 the patient was on 2 liters and had a saturation of 95% and at 1:51 AM, had an oxygen saturation of 96% on 4 liters of oxygen. The record failed to reflect an assessment, rationale and/or physician's order for the change of oxygen from 2 liters to 4 liters. Review of the Oxygen policy indicated that the flow rate should be adjusted based on a medical order. Review of Patient #16's clinical record identified that the patient received Ativan I mg IV on 11/21/16 at 12:40 AM absent an assessment to determine the efficacy of the medication. The medication administration record indicated that the patient received an additional I mg of Ativan IV on 11/21/16 at 1:23 AM, however, failed to reflect an assessment post administration vill be followed, in part the right reason and right response to a medication.
Violation		The following is a violation of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 19-13-D3 (b) Administration (2) and/or (d) Medical Records (3) and/or (e) Nursing Service (I).

and/or (i) General (6).	The following is a violation of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 19-13-D3 (b) Administration (2) and/or (c) Medical staff (2) and/or (4) and/or (d) Medical Records (3) and/or (g) Pharmacy (1)	Violation
hypochromic anemia and hidradenitis suppurativa. The Physician's note indicated that the patient had significant tense swelling of the right buttock with multiple areas of purulent drainage and cellulitis around the area. The record indicated that on 7/14/18 the patient had a BUN of 6 (normal 8-24) and creatinine of 0.7 (normal 0.6-1.2). On 7/15/18, the patient was taken to the operating room for incision and drainage (1&D) of the right buttocks, returned to the medical floor, and had Vancomycin 2000 mg prescribed every 12 hours empirically for cellulitis. The pharmacist note dated 7/16/18 at 12:45 PM indicated that that patient's creatinine bumped up to 3.5 and the Vancomycin trough was 65 (target 10-20 mg/1), the dose hanging was stopped and the physician was informed of the elevated trough.  Interview with MD #9 on 10/19/18 at 1:15 PM indicated that at the time of discharge he was not aware of the patient's elevated creatinine and/or that a creatinine level	Review of Patient #16's record indicated that a code was called on 11/21/16 at 2:25 AM. The nurse's note dated 11/21/16 at 3:17 AM indicated that the patient arrived to the floor accompanied by the emergency room RN with the HOB in fowler's position (semi-upright) during transfer. The patient was transferred with assist of 4 staff and vital signs were obtained while ED RN giving report. The patient was nonresponsive and moaning upon admission to the floor, was unable to obtain an initial oxygen saturation, and respiratory therapy was called. The patient became cyanotic, without respirations or pulse and a code blue was called with CPR initiated at 2:25 AM. Review of the clinical record failed to identify assessments and/or interventions taken by nursing when the patient had a change in condition. Review of the Change of Condition policy indicated staff should document in the medical record the nursing assessment and findings, actions taken, and/or the patient's response.  6. *Based on a review of clinical records, interview, and policy review for one of three patients reviewed for the Vancomycin pharmacy protocol (Patient #17), the hospital failed to ensure that the attending physician was notified of an elevated laboratory value prior to the patients discharge. The finding includes the following:  Patient #17 presented 7/14/18 with a history of microcytic	Discussion of Issues
1. Updating Vanco collaborative protocol, which will include specific wording that the pharmacist must communicate with the provider:  2. Pharmacist can order at or before 48 hours a serum creatinine and more frequently if needed when using nephrotoxic agents  3. Re-education to all the pharmacists regarding the Vanco collaborative  4. Report and review event at August 2018 QAPI meeting  Compliance Monitor:  The Senior Director of Hospital Operations or her designee will monitor compliance with a) Notification to provider for supra therapeutic levels for vanco, b) a note is in I-vent from the pharmacist when two nephrotoxic agents are	6a.	Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party

UConn Health – John Dempsey Hospital Violation of State of Connecticut Public Health Code and/or General Statutes of Connecticut

Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party	ordered, and c) Serum creatinine is ordered within a 48 hour range while on collaborative practice. Ten cases per month will be review for three months. Monitoring will continue until 100% compliance rate is achieved. The need for additional monitoring will be re-evaluated at that time.  Responsible Person: Senior Director of Hospital Operations  Completion Date: August 16, 2018	Plan of Correction:  1. Re-education of all circulator and surgical technicians to the clinical protocol for Specimen, Pathology: Care and Handling with sign off.  2. Review and revise policy as needed.  3. Report and review event at 6/18/19 QAPI meeting.  The Nursing Director of Procedural Services or designee will audit five random specimen samples per week to ensure there is an actual specimen in the container for a minimum of three months. He/she will continue to audit until 100% compliance rate is achieved. The need for additional monitoring will be re-evaluated at that time.  Responsible Person:  Nursing Director of Procedural Services  e Completion Date:  May 1, 2019
Discussion of Issues	had even been ordered. MD #9 indicated that the day after discharge the attending physician notified him of the elevated creatinine and the patient was called and directed to an outpatient lab for further studies. The patient's subsequent creatinine was 7.7 and the patient was readmitted to the hospital on 7/18/18 and subsequently required 2 hemodialysis treatments.  Interview with Pharmacist# 1 on 10/19/18 at 10:00 AM indicated that lab work was ordered as part of a pharmacy (11 ph) to the patients on Vancomycin. Subsequent (11 lh) incldent, the pharmacists will monitor the lab data (11 lh) to the patients on the protocol and notify the (11 lh) which an directly of any abnormal lab values.  (12 lh) who of the Discharge Policy indicated that the discharge process shall be integrated and coordinated by healthcare professionals, ensure quality coordinated continuity of care.	*Based on clinical record review, interview and policy review for I of 3 patients reviewed for obtaining and delivery of surgical specimens (Patient #I) the facility failed to ensure that surgical staff followed the policy for obtaining specimens. The finding includes the following:  a. Patient #I presented to the surgical center on 3/19/19 for an elective hysteroscopy, dilation and curettage of uterus for diagnostic purposes for suspected endometrial hyperplasia. The operative note dated 3/13/19 at 12:03 PM indicated that endometrial curetting's and a small polyp were obtained by MD#! at 11:33 AM. Review of the laboratory report dated 3/19/19 at 10:27 AM identified that no specimen was identified after processing. The note indicated that the container was labeled and clearly marked "endometrium curetting's and polyp" but no soft tissue was identified. The Pathology Assistant and Pathologist double checked the container, the formalin was filtered and cassette was submitted for possible microscopic tissues.  Interview with the Scrub Tech indicated that he verified the label on the container at the same time RN #1 stated what the specimen was and he then dropped the specimen in the turned back to the patient.  Interview with RN# 1 on 5/9/19 at 11:40 AM and 2:30 PM Interview with RN# 1 on 5/9/19 at 11:40 AM and 2:30 PM
Violation		The following is a violation of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 19-13-D3 (e) Nursing Service (1) and/or (i) General (6).

The following is a violation of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section Sec. 19a-127n.		Violation
8. Based on interview and policy review the facility failed to ensure that an adverse event was reported in a timely manner. The finding includes the following:  a. Patient #I presented to the surgical center on 3/19/19 for an elective hysteroscopy, dilation and curettage of uterus for diagnostic purposes for suspected endometrial hyperplasia. The operative note dated 3/13/19 at 12:03 PM indicated that endometrial curetting's and a small polyp were obtained by MD#! at 11:33 AM on 3/13/19. Review of the lab report dated 3/19/19 at 10:27 AM identified that no specimen was identified after processing. The note indicated that the container was labeled and clearly marked "endometrium curetting's and polyp" but no soft tissue identified. The Pathology Assistant and pathologist double checked the container, formalin was filtered and cassette submitted for possible microscopic tissues. Interview with the Pathology Assistant on 5/9/19 at 12:30 PM indicated that when she opened the container she was unable to visualize any tissue. PA #1 had the pathologist verify this and indicated that when she received the specimen she had a feeling it was empty sue to the fact that the formalin was clear. The PA indicated that in 95% of similar cases the formalin will tum red.  Review of facility documentation indicated that the adverse	indicated that the specimen is normally on a telfa sponge and then placed in the specimen container. RN #1 indicated that he cannot verify that he saw the specimen in the container.  Interview with the Pathology Assistant on 5/9/19 at 12:30 PM indicated that when she opened the container she was unable to visualize any tissue. Pathology Assistant #1 had the Pathologist verify this and indicated that when she received the specimen she had a feeling it was empty due to the fact that the formalin was clear. The Pathology Assistant indicated that in 95% of similar cases the formalin will turn red, due to blood on the telfa.  Review of the policy for Specimen, Pathology: Care and Handling indicated that the scrub person and the circulating nurse should verify the correct label and the specimen match completely when labeling each specimen. The policy indicated that the labeled containers should be checked to verify they contain specimens prior to delivery to the laboratory.	Discussion of Issues
Plan of Correction:  1. Re-education of staff who have responsibility for handling or processing surgical specimens to the clinical protocol for Specimen, Pathology: Care and Handling with sign off.  2. Re-education of staff who have responsibility for handling or processing surgical specimens to the requirement of reporting adverse events.  3. Report and review event at 7/16/19 QAPI meeting  Compliance Monitor:  The Nursing Director of Procedural Services or designee will audit all adverse events to ensure there is timely reporting for a minimum of three months. He/she will continue to audit until 100% compliance rate is achieved. The need for additional monitoring will be re-evaluated at that time.		Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party

Violation	Discussion of Issues	Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party
	event was reported to the Quality Department on 4/8/19. Interview with the Director of Regulatory indicated that her department was notified of the incident and further	Responsible Person: Nursing Director of Procedural Services
	information was gathered resulting in a late submission.  Review of the facility policy Incident Reporting indicated that staff should report any deviation for the normal or	Completion Date: August 16, 2019
	expected outcome pf a process. Any provider or staff member who discovers witnesses or becomes aware of an	
	occurrence should immediately report the occurrence to the Clinical Risk Manger or the Director of Regulatory	
		Political designations and the second
The following is a violation of the Regulation of Connecticut State	<ol> <li>*Based on a review of clinical records, facility documentation, interviews, and policy review for one of</li> </ol>	
Agencies Section 19-13-D3 (b) Administration (2) and/or (c) Medical	four patients who had total shoulder replacements, (Patient #25), the hospital failed to maintain an accurate accounting	
staff(2) (B) and/or (4) (A) and/or (d)	of all instruments utilized intraoperatively resulting in an	
Medical records (3) and/or (1) General (6)	unintended retained foreign body. The finding includes:  a Datient #75 was admitted on 8/75/17 for a total right	9a.
707		Plan of Correction:
	to osteoarthritis. Review of the nursing intraoperative	1. Re-educate staff regarding the incident at JDH and THSC OR staff meetings
	record dated 6/23/1/ identified that a time out was conducted and surgery commenced at 8:00 AM. Beyiew of	2. Policy/procedure review to be posted with relevant
	the operative report dated 8/25/17 identified in part, that the	content highlighted; staff to sign off acknowledgement
	glenoid peg drilling guide was inserted, each of the three	
	(3) holes were drilled 1st by drilling a hole and inserting a	3. Nursing Director to inform surgery department chiefs of
	metallic peg. Once all three (3) drill holes were created, the	incident and need for mutidisciplinary involvement in
	drilling guide and the pegs were removed. The patient	accomming for an items used during procedures.  4. Review and revise the count policy to include all items
	were correct times two. Review of the nursing	that could potentially be retained.
	intraoperative record identified that the final closing count	5. Report and review event at 7/16/19 QAPI meeting
	the content of correct by a complete and small items, were	
	cliculating registered nurse, and surgery concluded at 9:45	Compliance Monitor:
		The Nursing Director of Procedural Services or her
	D	designee will monitor compliance to the count policy.  Five and its will be done not used, for three months
	discharged home on 8/26/17. Review of a right shoulder x-	Monitoring will continue until 100% compliance rate is
	ray dated 8/28/17 identified a metallic peg was seen in the	achieved. The need for additional monitoring will be re-
	axillary pouch. Review of hospital documentation dated	evaluated at that time.
	9/22/17 noted that MD #35 believed the object was a peg	
	from the surgery, was in position, posed no risk to the	Responsible Person:
	patient, didn't feel surgical removal was necessary, and	Nursing Director of Procedural Services
	Would invition the patient.	Company of the state of the sta

The following is a violation of the Regulation of Connecticut State Agencies Section 19-13-D3 (b)  Administration (2) and/or (c) Medical staff(2), (B) and/or (4), (A) and/or (d)  Medical records (3) and/or (i) General (6) and/or Connecticut General Statutes 19a-127n  a.		Violation
*Based on a review of clinical records, facility documentation, interviews, and policy review for one of four patients who required chest tube insertion (Patient #26), the hospital failed to ensure the catheter was inspected upon removal and sequestered when noted that the tip was retained in the patient's chest, and/or that the adverse event was reported to the Department of Public Health (DPH) in a timely manner. The findings include:  Patient #26 presented to the ED on 9/12/16 with	Review of the clinical record and interview with the OR Nurse Manager on 11/27/18 at 2:00 PM stated that the three (3) pegs used for this surgery were part of total shoulder kit and the pegs were not included in the counts, however, the Scrub Technician is accountable to review the table and the expectation is when something is handed up, something is handed back. Subsequent to this incident, staff were educated to count the three (3) pegs and document under "other" on the count worksheets.  Review of the operative record dated 8/25/17 and interview with Surgical Technician #1 on 11/27/18 at 3:00 PM identified he was precepting another surgical technician during this case and they had both transferred instruments to the surgeon. Surgical Technician #1 stated the three pegs used for this surgery were not part of the count during this period of time and although he tries to make a mental note, or write on the table instruments passed, it was an oversight that three pegs were not returned back for an accurate accounting.  Interview with MD #35 (orthopedic surgeon) on 11/28/18 at 12 noon stated he handed the pegs to the scrub technician when removed from the surgical site and he relies on the scrub technician to tell him the counts are correct at the end of the case. MD #35 felt that one of the pegs fell out of the metal guide and into the wound then was not accounted for. Subsequent to this case, MD #35 stated he ensures all pegs are counted.  Review of the Protocol for Counts: Prevention of Retained Surgical Items directed that other miscellaneous items that are opened onto the sterile field should be accounted for during all procedures for which miscellaneous items are used.	Discussion of Issues
10a.  Plan of Correction:  1. Educate Diagnostic Imaging and Surgical Faculty members of the need to report retained foreign bodies	Completion Date: August 1, 2019	Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party

Violation	Discussion of Issues	Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party
	and had a pigtail catheter placed in interventional radiology for reexpansion of his/her lung. The patient was then	to the Risk Management Regulatory, and Clinical Effectiveness and Patient Safety Departments.
	admitted to the hospital for pain medication and incentive	2. Re-educate Surgical Faculty to remind their service
	spirometer. Review of Physician Assistant (PA) #1's note	members of the need to inspect catheters prior to insertion and upon removal
	pigtail was removed, occlusive dressing was applied, the	3. Re-educate Surgical Faculty to remind their service
	patient tolerated the procedure well, post pull chest x-ray at	members of the need to retain catheters or any device,
	4PM. The note failed to identify the integrity of the catheter	which may not be intact upon removal.
	upoliticational, neview of the chest x-ray dated 9/13/10 at 4:15 PM identified the right-sided pleural catheter was	4. Re-curcate an interioral statt on reporting Auverse Events to Risk Management, Regulatory, and Clinical
	removed and the pneumothorax has not reoccurred. A six	Effectiveness and Patient Safety Departments.
	(6) millimeter (mm) radiopaque density projecting over the	5. Report and review event at 7/16/19 QAPI meeting
	right mid lung tield which presumably is external was noted. A subsequent chest x-ray on 9/13/16 at 6:52 PM re-	
	identified an approximate 7 mm tubular density overlying	Compliance Monitor: The Chief of the Medical Staff or his designee will
	the right upper lobe.	monitor documentation of intactness, upon removal, of all
	Review of PA #1's note dated 9/13/16 at 7:29 PM identified	IR placed pigtail catheters. Monitoring will continue until
		100% compliance rate is achieved. The need for
	recently removed pigtail catheter. Catheter inspected and	addhional monkoring will be re-evaluated at that time.
	distal tip portion missing. Plan was to perform video-	
	assisted thoracoscopic (VATS) for pleurodesis and retrieve	Responsible Person:
	fragment during surgery (pleurodesis was planned regardless).	Chief of Medical Staff
	Review of the post-procedure note dated 9/14/16 identified	
	that during the right VATS procedure for pleurodesis, a 2	Completion Date:
	centimeter tip (approximate size) of a pigtail catheter	August 16, 2019
	complications.	
	Review of the surgical pathology report dated 9/14/16	
	identified a foreign body from right chest cavity revealed a	
	blue plastic tubular structure measuring 1.3 cm by 0.3 cm.	
	Kecord review and interview with Physician Assistant # 1 or 11/08/18 at 11 AM etated that he did not immed the	
	on 11/20/10 at 11 April States that the usu the majoret the	
	catheter once notified of the foreign body (the catheter was	
	in the dirty utility room) on x-ray and observed that the tip	
	of the catheter was missing. PA # I stated the tip of the	
	catheter is harder than the rest of the catheter and believed	
	ulat the catterer stretched and the tip remained. FA #1 notified the currentising physician and intercentional	
	radiology who placed the catheter, however, failed to	

Medical stati (2) (5).	Regulation of Connecticut State Agencies Section 19-13-D3 (c)	The following is a violation of the																				Violation
quality of medical care provided to patients when the patient was not provided with appropriate discharge	patients  a. Patient (P) #1 who underwent a surgical procedure, the	11. Based on clinical record review and interview for 1 of 10	reported to DPH within the required time frame.	To comply with Connecticut State Law, all adverse events	immediately and a patient safety report should be filed by the end of the shift by the person reporting the occurrence.	guidelines for adverse events. Review of the adverse event policy identified that an adverse event should be reported	Subsequently staff were reeducated on the reporting	report should have been reported to DPH within seven (7)	DPH once known. The Director further stated that the initial	Director of Quality on 11/28/18 at 2:30 PM stated staff	10/12/16. Review of this report and interview with the	until 10/7/16 at 11:13 PM then subsequently to DPH on	surgery or other invasive procedure) on 9/13/10, however,	(unintended retention of a foreign body in a patient after	Review of the adverse event reporting form identified that Patient #26 was involved in a category 1D event,	and upon removal.  Review of the Records Management policy directed in part, that all patient medical entries for services provided must be complete with evidence documented to support diagnosis/condition, justify the care/treatment and services rendered.	Interview with MD #100 on 11/28/18 at 2:00 PM stated it is a standard of practice to inspect a catheter before placement	should have inspected the catheter when removed and	Interview with the Director of Quality on 11/28/18 at 2:30 PM stated the hospital investigation identified that PA # I	patient was scriednied for a VALIS procedure mat day so me tip was removed then.	. 1	Discussion of Issues
	Plan of Correction:  1. Chief of Medical Staff will inform physicians of	11a.											·									Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party

UConn Health – John Dempsey Hospital Violation of State of Connecticut Public Health एतालिक काम अन्यान Statutes of Connecticut

Violation	Discussion of Issues	Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party
	instructions. The finding includes: Patient (P) #1 had undergone a Transurethral Resection of the Prostate (TURP) on 9/10/18, performed by Medical Doctor (MD) #1. Review of hospital discharge education information dated 9/11/18 identified P#1 received educational information and instructions for Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH) however the medical record lacked educational material related to postoperative restrictions following a TURP. On 10/1/18 at 8:46 PM. P#1 arrived in the Emergency Department (ED) of Hospital #1 with a chief complaint of bleeding/hematuria starting around 4:15 PM after lifting a heavy object. During an interview with Compliance Officer #1 on 2/7/19 at 10:00 AM he/she indicated P#1's medical record contained discharge instructions however they were not specific to post-operative TURP.  According to a statement by MD#1, he/she discusses postoperative activity as a routine part of counseling. He/she indicated usually there is an order for no lifting anything heavy for 1 to 3 weeks. In addition MD#1 indicated a discharge education handout is provided to the patient upon discharge by either the Residents or floor nurses and he/she was not sure this had been provided. Review of the hospital written discharge information for care after a TURP indicated the patient was a a TURP indicated the patient was a sovial lifting anything heavier than 10 pounds for 3 weeks after the procedure unless instructed otherwise by the healthcare provider.  The Discharge Planning policy indicated the patients, amilies, significant others and designated care givers in accordance with assessment of their learning needs. Sources of education include hospital approved patient education resources and other instructional information packets.	<ol> <li>Attending providers or their designee will select the appropriate post op education after surgical procedures to be included with the discharge instructions to the patient.</li> <li>Training on EPIC will continue to all Physicians regarding attaching discharge instructions to the After Visit Summary related to the patient's surgical procedure.</li> <li>Nurses will review with the patient all surgical post procedure discharge instructions upon discharge to home.</li> <li>Report and review event at 3/19/19 QAPI meeting.</li> <li>Report and review event at 3/19/19 QAPI meeting.</li> <li>Muses will review will continue to audit until 100% compliance rate is achieved. The need for a minimum of three months. He/she will continue to audit until 100% compliance rate is achieved. The need for additional monitoring will be re-evaluated at that time</li> <li>Chief of Medical Staff</li> <li>Completion Date:         April 15, 2019     </li> </ol>
The following is a violation of the Regulation of Connecticut State Agencies Section 19-13-D3 {b}	12. *Based on clinical record review, facility documentation review and interviews for one of eleven patients' who underwent cardiothoracic surgery, (Patient #12), the	
***************************************	The state of the s	

immediately sequestered.	head/raceway pump and the patient. When blood began flowing through the tubing the VRV valve did as intended and pressurized squirting blood out of the valve therefore	
12. The job description of the Clinical Perfusionists will be reviewed and revised. 13. The cardio nulmonary bypass machine was	tubing was connected to the roller head/raceway pump between the roller head and reservoir in error. TheVRV	
	the tubing the surgeon had handed him/her, which was placed to drain the heart. However the VRV valve in the	44-4-4-1
<ol> <li>Ongoing annual competencies will be a condition of continued employment and will be evaluated by the</li> </ol>	directional flow of fluids between the raceway and the	
from a simulation training program as a condition of continued employment.	placed the tubing with the VRV valve (safety valve that keeps tissue at end of tubing from collapsing and allows	
upon completion.  10. All perfusionists will obtain a certificate of competency	checks were in place prior to the start of the procedure.  When the procedure started, Perfusionist #1 (primary)	
machine at the initiation of the surgery and removed	blood directionally through the tubing) was set up and all	
9. VRV valve marker (identifies directional flow) will be	12:45 PM, he/she indicated that on	
direction of flow after initial test will require a repeat	expired on 2/10/19 at 12:45 PM.	
8. Any adjustment of the pump tubing affecting the	hospice. P#12 was terminally extubated and pronounced	
<ol> <li>Any adjustment of the pump tubing will result in a hard</li> </ol>	An EEG showed no cortical activity. On 2/9/19 P#12's family required that D#12 receive comfort care and	
	sedation. A stat head CT scan revealed tiny locules of air.	
has the VKV valve correctly placed in the set-up.  6. Both perfusionists will independently complete the	According to the discharge summary dated 2/10/19 at 12:45  PM. postoperatively P#12 was unresponsive when off	
will be revised to include a check that the pump tubing	stable.	
5. The perfusion checklist and case summary (HCH922)	the intensive care unit (ICU) and was hemodynamically	
4. Two Perfusionists will be in attendance before	complication which occurred as intra-cardiac air during	
<ol> <li>Ongoing competencies will be maintained yearly</li> </ol>	aortic valve commensed. The operative report identified the	
(August 27, 2019, 8am-2pm).	interventions were implemented and replacement of the	
2. The Perfusionist team will schedule competencies to be	the ascending aorta from the main pulmonary artery, air	
discussed to prevent reoccurrence.	connected to the bypass circuit. During the dissection of	
result of flipping the tubing in the raceway were	coronary bypass machine, all carmulas were de-aired and	
educated about the proper location of the VKV valve.  Review of the VRV valve placement as well as the	the procedure when P#12 was connected to the cardiac	
1. All perfusionists were notified of the event and re-	congestive heart failure. According to the Operative report	
Plan of Correction:		
12a.	ic aortic	Service (1) and/or (1) General (0).
		Samine (1) and/or (i) General (6)
	hospital failed to ensure that life sustaining equipment was	Administration (2) and/or {c) Medical
Measures to Frevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party	Discussion of Issues	Violation
Management Description of Page Corport		

Violation	Discussion of Issues	Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party
	Perfusionist #1 thought the cause of the VRV valve release	14. The cardio pulmonary bypass machine was inspected and its preventive maintenance was verified that it was
	pump was placed wrong not that the VRV valve location	up to date
	was wrong. The pump was turned off and Perfusionist #1	15. Report and review event at 3/19/19 QAPI meeting.
	Hipped over and remserted the tubing within the roller head/raceway. The machine was turned back on and the	
	surgeon asked if Perfusionist	Compliance Monitor
	#I was getting air down the venous line. It was at that time Desficionist #1 realized and identified the error in the VRV	1. All perfusionists will obtain a certificate of competency
	valve placement.	from a simulation training program as a condition of
	On 2/15/19 at 10:30 AM, the Clinical Coordinator	
	demonstrated the proper placement of tubing on the cardiac	Constraint annual competencies will be a condition of continued employment and will be evaluated by the
	coronary bypass machine versus how the actual tubing was	Clinical Service Chief, Department of Surgery or their
	placed aming 1 #12 8 surgery: It was succeedings. just prior to	
	being placed on cardiac coronary bypass, the tubing	3. The Clinical Service Chief, Department of Surgery or
	continuing the VRV valve was placed on the wrong side of	their designee will audit 100% of the CT cases to
	Mo toller head/raceway. Perfusionist #1 did not realize that	ensure the checklist and case summary are complete
	the VRV valve was in the wrong place at that time therefore	for a minimum of three months. He/she will continue
	In the flipped the tubing within the roller head/raceway	to anoth until 100% compliance rate is achieved. The
	Illinkling the error was in the tubing running through the	need for additional monitoring will be re-evaluated at
	roller head/raceway. Medical Doctor (MD) was not	Mat tille.  A The minem northerionist will decument a hard ston (i.e.
-	available for interview during the onsite investigation	
	timetrame. During an arranged interview with MD#3 on	any adjustances of the pump tube.
	2/20/19 at 2:40 PIM, iNLD#3 indicated ne/sne became aware	
	of a problem when he/she heard what sounded like alf	Responsible Person:
	coming from the vicinity of the operative field. MD#3	Clinical Service Chief, Department of Surgery
	hoteu an ut tile circuit tubing and assed i cirustomst # 1 m. he/she was getting air. Perfitsionist #1 did not immediately	
	respond therefore MD#3 asked anesthesia staff to check the	Completion Date:
	heart and anesthesia responded that there was air in the	Ime 1 2019
	heart. MD#3 responded by clamping the ascending aorta to	
	prevent air from further entering the heart. MD#3 indicated	
	when he/she asked Perfusionist #1 what occurred	
	Perfusionist #1 had indicated one of the one-way valves in	
	the circuit was in the wrong location.	
	During an interview with Perfusionist #2 (Director of	
ı	Perfusion Services) on 2/14/19 he/she	
	indicated during P#12's surgery on 2/8/19 the VRV value	
	was placed in the wrong position causing blood to spurt out	
	the valve. When the blood spurted out of the VKV valve	
	Pertusionist #1 stopped the folier head, thinking the tubing	
The second of the second secon	Was in vach water. I citestomet at their teversee the thoms	

And the second s	I and the second	
	field lines and confirmation of fluid path prior to re- initiation of flow will be established.	
	mitiation of the surgery and removed upon completion.  8. A hard stop will occur when there is any alteration in the	<del></del>
	added to roller head cover on heart lung machine at	
	7. VRV valve marker (identifies directional flow) was	
	pump tubing after initial test will require a repeat testing of the circuit.	
	6. Any change in direction of flow or manipulation of the	
	vent must have the aorta cross-clamped prior to placement of the vent. Air embolism protocol will be reviewed by	
	hold.  5. All cardiothoracic surgeries that require a left ventricle	
	administrative leave.  4. All elective open-heart surgery cases were placed on	
	3. Primary perfusionist for 2/8 AVR case was placed on	
	2. All perfusionists were notified of the event and educated	
	1. The bypass machine was immediately sequestered.	
	Subsequent to this incident, the hospital instituted	
	and/or distinguish the roles of each Fertusionist.	
	the number of Perfusionist assigned when bypass is used	
	Review of the Perfusionist job description failed to identify	
	Perfusionist should have in-depth knowledge of	
	and artificially replaces the patient's cardiopulmonary/circulatory functions. In addition, the	
	equipment during any medical situation when it temporarily	
	for the selection, setup and operation of circulation	
	of cardiopulmonary bypass. According to the Clinical	
	documentation. He/she indicated since the incident 2  Perfusion is tare scheduled for the surgery during initiation	
	equipment in the room and assist with vital signs and	
	role of the second Perfusionist is to obtain additional	
	incident hospital practice is for 2 Perfusionist in the OR	
	in the roller head causing the flow in the line to be in the wrong direction. Perfusionist #2 indicated prior to the	
Effected/Responsible party		
Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action	Violation Discussion of Issues	
	THE CLEANER OF CONTRACT TROUBLE CARACTER CARACTER CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	101000

Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party		Plan of Correction:  1. The policy and procedure for the triage of patients presenting to the Emergency Department will be reviewed and revised to include the Emergency Severity Index (ESI) level, vital signs and pain assessment during the triage process.  2. All Emergency Department staff and Critical Care Float Pool will be educated to the revised policy and procedure.  3. Report and review event at 3/19/19 QAPI meeting.  Compliance Monitor:  The Clinical Nurse Specialist or designee will audit 20 Emergency Department patient charts per month for compliance with the new policy and procedure. The audit will include review of Emergency Severity Index (ESI) level, vital signs and pain assessment. The audit will continue for a minimum of three months until 100%
Discussion of Issues	Future measures include:  1. Two perfusionists will be in attendance at start of case through initiation of cardiopulmonary bypass.  2. Both perfusionists will independently complete the checklist on a separate form.  3. The perfusion checklist and case summary (HCH922) will be revised to include a check that the pump tubing has the VRV valve correctly placed in the set-up.  4. Each heart lung machine to receive additional roller head for independent control of field vent / suction lines.  5. Third field vent / suction line ("blue line") to be added to custom perfusion pack by manufacturer.	
		13.
Violation		The following are violations of the Regulation of Connecticut State Agencies Section 19-13-D3 {e} Nursing Service (1) and/or {i} General (6).

Violation	Discussion of Issues	Measures to Prevent Keoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party
E	minutes after arrival) P#l left the hospital without being	compliance rate is achieved. The need for additional
	seen prior to triage and that procedure and treatment not	monitoring will be re-evaluated at that time.
	carried out due to patient leaving prior to being seen by	
	health care provider. P#l indicated he/she was going to	Responsible Person.
	another hospital for care.	Nince Manager of Emergency Department
	During an interview with KN#1 on 2/13/19 at 11:10 Aivi, he/she indicated when P#1 arrived	The state of the s
	to the ED, P#l appeared slightly uncomfortable, was alert	Completion Date:
	and oriented, stable ambulating, had good color and had	line 1 2019
	driven him/herself to the ED. RN#I indicated he/she	
	high acuity and critical natients and that P#1 would be seen	
	as soon as possible, but to let RN#l know if anything	
	changed. RN#l indicated at the time of P#l's ED encounter,	
	the policy was not to do initial vital signs "up front" but	
	rather as a nursing judgment call (when to do the vital	
	room, RN#1 indicated that P#1 came to the triage desk	
	several times (no more than 3) after using the restroom and	
	RN #1's quick visual assessment indicated that P#1's	
	condition had not changed. RN#l indicated he/she had	
	received a can from type 2 inquing as to when ran would	
	the ED and his/her initial assessment of P#1. RN#1	
	indicated MD#1 did not indicate P#1 needed to be seen	
	sooner. RN#1 indicated he/she had called back to the	
	treatment area of the ED and briefly spoke with the charge	
***************************************	nurse who was managing 2 cardiac arrests, a stroke alert	
	things settled and they could rearrange things, patients	
	would be moved from the waiting area to a room.	
	During an interview with Medical Doctor (MD) #1 on	
	oneratively is not unusual however most cases resolve after	
	continuous bladder irrigation and there is a small	
	percentage that require going to the Operating Room (OR)	
	for cautery. MD#l indicated it was very rare that a patient	
	mound took a me anoming amount of ocod anong and	
	During an interview with MD#2 on 2/7/19 he/she indicated	
	P#I had called the urology service twice post operatively.	
	The first time MD#2 spoke with P#1 based on the	
The state of the s	symptoms r#1 had reported he/she histructed r#1 on some	i maria de la companya de la company

UConn Health – John Dempsey Hospital Violation of State of Connecticut Public Health Code and/or General Statutes of Connecticut

Violation	Discussion of Issues	Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party
	interventions to attempt to stop the bleeding and then be	
	evaluated by MID#1 the next day. MID#2 indicated ne/she	
	seek further evaluation. MD#2 indicated when P#1 called	
	the second time that evening based on what P#1 reported	
	and his/her level of alertness and sound on the phone,	
	MD#2 ulu not leet f#18 situation was cinical.	
	ED to be evaluated. MD#2 then called and spoke to the	
	triage nurse in the ED to see if he/she could expedite P#1	
`	being seen. However the triage RN explained the acuity and	
	volume of patients in the ED and indicated P#1 would be	
	seen as soon as possible.	
	During an interview with the ED Manager on 2/6/19 at 9:40	
	AM he/she indicated that in discussing the events of	
	10/1/18 with the Charge Nurse, it was felt that staffing was	
	adequate. The issue was that there were no available rooms	
	due to multiple emergent cases in the ED at that time. Once	
	the emergent cases were attended to and were less critical,	
	staff would reassess the situation. The ED manager	
	identified that he/she was aware of the process should	
	he/she need additional staff.	
	During review of the medical records for P#1 with the ED	
	Manager on 2/13/19 at 12:45 PM he/she indicated although	
	the ED treatment area was full, vital signs and an ESI level	
	should have been obtained in the triage area and	
	documented as indicated in the triage policy and nursing	
-	protocol for ED nursing assessment and documentation.	
	The ED Manager indicated that the triage policy is in the	
_	process of revision to include that vital signs need to be	
	done immediately in triage.	
	Keview of the "triage of Patients Presenting in the	
	Emergency Department" policy directed that all patients	
	presenting to the ED would be triaged and have an intake	
	note documented by the RN. The ESI triage system would	
	be used to categorize the patients based on severity and	
	resource needs. ESI triage algorithm indicated in order to	
	establish an ESI triage level, a patients cognitive	
	orientation, pain/distress, heart rate, respiratory rate and	
	oxygen level needs to be assessed. The policy indicated all	
	ED patients would receive prompt emergency care	
	according to their urgency level. After the initial intake,	
Table 1	Fatients with an EM of 1, 2 of 3 (resuscitation, energent	

Responsible Person:		
Compliance Monitor:  The Clinical Nurse Specialist or designee will audit 20 Emergency Department patient charts per month for compliance with the new policy and procedure. The audit will include review of Emergency Severity Index (ESI) level, vital signs and pain assessment. The audit will continue for a minimum of three months until 100% compliance rate is achieved. The need for additional monitoring will be re-evaluated at that time.		
Plan of Correction:  4. The policy and procedure for the triage of patients presenting to the Emergency Department will be reviewed and revised to include the Emergency Severity Index (ESI) level, vital signs and pain assessment during the triage process.  5. All Emergency Department staff and Critical Care Float Pool will be educated to the revised policy and procedure.  6. Report and review event at 3/19/19 QAPI meeting.	and urgent) would be placed into appropriate ED treatment rooms so that emergency measures can be initiated immediately. Patients with an ESI of 4 or 5 (less urgent/mon-urgent) would be placed in an up-front provider room where care can be provided, which includes vital signs.  A nursing protocol for ED nursing assessment and documentation identified to assess the patient and assign an acuity level and complete the triage process by obtaining in part, vital signs and a pain assessment. However, the protocol failed to identify when staff should be expected to complete the triage process.  The purpose of triage in the emergency department (ED) is to prioritize incoming patients and to identify those who cannot wait to be seen. The triage nurse performs a brief, focused assessment and assigns the patient a triage acuity level, which is a proxy measure of how long an individual patient can safely wait for a medical screening examination and treatment.  b. P#3 arrived in the ED on 10/1/18 at 7:51 PM with a chief complaint of back pain after a fall at home. At 7:51 PM, RN #1 designated that the patient was an ESI level 3, was placed in a room at 8:09 PM, and evaluated by the Physician Assistant (PA) at 11:51 PM. An assessment by the PA indicated as of 11:51 PM (4 hours after arrival) no vital signs were documented. A nurse's note dated 10/2/18 at 12:33 AM indicated P#3 signed the required documentation and left Hospital #1 against medical advice (AMA).	
Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party	Violation Discussion of Issues	

UConn Health – John Dempsey Hospital Violation of State of Connecticut Public Health Code and/or General Statutes of Connecticut

		The second secon
Violation	Discussion of Issues	Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party
		Nurse Manager of Emergency Department
		Completion Date: June 1, 2019
	c. 19#8 arrived in the ED on 10/1/18 at 8:06 PM with a chief complaint of hypertension. Review of RN #1's nurse's note dated 10/1/18 at 8:07 PM indicated P#1 reported a high blood pressure at home and that he/she had not felt well since his/her medication had been changed. P#8 reported his/her systolic BP had been >100. Review of the medical record failed to identify vital signs or an ESI level had been obtained/documented until 9:25 PM. P#8 was placed in a room at 9:27 PM (1 hour 18 minutes after arrival) and left without further evaluation at 9:30 PM.  During an interview with the ED Manager on 2/6/19 at 9:40 AM he/she indicated ideally vital signs should be obtained right away however if there was a line, the vital signs might not be taken right away and the patient would subsequently be called back to triage as soon as possible to have vital signs obtained.  During review of the medical records for P#3 and P#8 with the ED Manager on 2/13/19 at 12:45 PM he/she indicated if P#3 was triaged at 7:31 PM, it was unacceptable that as of 11:51 PM no vital signs had been obtained and documented. In addition if P#8, with a reported history of hypertension arrived to the ED at 8:06 PM complaining of a headache, vital signs and an ESI level should have been obtained and documented.	Plan of Correction:  7. The policy and procedure for the triage of patients presenting to the Emergency Department will be reviewed and revised to include the Emergency Severity Index (ESI) level, vital signs and pain assessment during the triage process.  8. All Emergency Department staff and Critical Care Float Pool will be educated to the revised policy and procedure.  9. Report and review event at 3/19/19 QAPI meeting.  Compliance Monitor:  The Clinical Nurse Specialist or designee will audit 20 Emergency Department patient charts per month for compliance with the new policy and procedure. The audit will include review of Emergency Severity Index (ESI) level, vital signs and pain assessment. The audit will continue for a minimum of three months until 100% compliance rate is achieved. The need for additional monitoring will be re-evaluated at that time.  Responsible Person:  Nurse Manager of Emergency Department  Completion Date:  June 1, 2019
The following is a violation of the Regulation of Connecticut State Agencies Section 19-13-D3 (i) General (6).	14. Based on clinical record review, policies review, review of personnel files and interview for 1 of 7 (RN#200) staff nurses who float to the Emergency Department (ED) the facility failed to ensure the staff maintained current CPI competency. The findings include:	

				Violation
		Hospital policy for Required Certification/Training indicated all designated hospital personnel involved in direct patient care in the ED and those in job categories who float to those areas will obtain CPI certification within 3 months of employment.	a. On 6/4/19 Patient (P) #100 arrived to ED with police on a Police Emergency Evaluation Request (PEER) with suicidal ideation. While being escorted to the bathroom to change the patient "bolted" from the ED.  During a review of the incident with Compliance Specialist #1 on 6/7/19 at 2:15 PM it was identified that Registered Nurse (RN) #200's CPI (nonviolent crisis intervention training) had expired on 9/22/16 and had not been renewed as of 6/7/19. According to Compliance Specialist #1 RN#200 worked on another unit. RN#200 had been oriented to work in the ED, concluding at the end of 2018, however her CPI had expired and should have been	Discussion of Issues
Completion Date: August [6, 30]	Resnonsible Person: Nursing Director, Professional Practice	Compliance Monitor:  The Nursing Director of Professional Practice or her designee will monitor compliance with timely certification and recertification of all staff required to be certified in CPI. Monitoring of the staff requiring certification will continue for 3 months until 100% compliance rate is achieved. The need for additional monitoring will be reevaluated at that time.	Plan of Correction:  1. Work with Human Resources to investigate options to monitor appropriate certification for staff.  2. An electronic report will be generated and sent monthly to managers indicating staff due for certification renewal.  3. Nurse Managers will assure staff will attend certification renewal classes prior to their certification expiration.  4. Report and review event at 7/16/19 QAPI meeting.	Measures to Prevent Reoccurrence/Date Corrective Action Effected/Responsible party